

# Simulating sparse Hamiltonians with star decompositions\*

Andrew M. Childs<sup>1,3</sup> and Robin Kothari<sup>2,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Combinatorics & Optimization, University of Waterloo

<sup>2</sup> David R. Cheriton School of Computer Science, University of Waterloo

<sup>3</sup> Institute for Quantum Computing, University of Waterloo

## Abstract

Quantum simulation of Hamiltonian dynamics is a well-studied problem [1–3] and is one of the main motivations for building a quantum computer. Since the best known classical algorithms for simulating quantum systems are inefficient, this was the original application of quantum computers [4]. Besides simulating physics, Hamiltonian simulation has many algorithmic applications, such as adiabatic optimization, unstructured search, and the implementation of quantum walks.

The input to the Hamiltonian simulation problem is a Hamiltonian  $H$  and a time  $t$ ; the problem is to implement the unitary operator  $e^{-iHt}$ . We say that a Hamiltonian acting on an  $N$ -dimensional quantum system can be simulated efficiently if there is a quantum circuit using  $\text{poly}(\log N, t, 1/\epsilon)$  one- and two-qubit gates that approximates (with error at most  $\epsilon$ ) the evolution according to  $H$  for time  $t$ .

Lloyd presented a method for simulating quantum systems that can be described by a sum of local Hamiltonians [1]. A Hamiltonian is called local if it acts non-trivially on at most a fixed number of qubits, independent of the size of the system.

This was later generalized by Aharonov and Ta-Shma [2] to the case of sparse (and efficiently row-computable) Hamiltonians. A Hamiltonian is sparse if it has at most  $\text{poly}(\log N)$  nonzero entries in any row. It is efficiently row-computable if there is an efficient procedure to determine the location and matrix elements of the nonzero entries in each row.

The complexity of this simulation was improved by Childs [5] and further improved by Berry, Ahokas, Cleve and Sanders [3]. Their algorithm has query complexity  $(d^4(\log^* N) \|H\|)^{1+o(1)}$ , where  $d$  is the maximum degree of the graph of the Hamiltonian  $H$ . These algorithms decompose

---

\* Work supported by MITACS, NSERC, QuantumWorks, and the US ARO/DTO.

the Hamiltonian into a sum of Hamiltonians, each of which is easy to simulate.

We present a different method of decomposing the Hamiltonian. We decompose a general sparse Hamiltonian into a small sum of Hamiltonians, each of whose graph of non-zero entries is a forest of star graphs. This is done using ideas from distributed computing for decomposing a graph into a sum of forests [8] and vertex coloring forests [9, 10]. We then show that a Hamiltonian whose graph of non-zero entries is a forest of stars can be efficiently simulated. This leads to an algorithm with query complexity  $(d^2(d + \log^* N) \|H\|)^{1+o(1)}$ .

The simulation of Ref. [3] has also been improved using a completely different approach [6, 7]. That algorithm is more efficient in terms of all parameters except the error  $\epsilon$ , on which its dependence is considerably worse. The algorithm we present here maintains the same dependence on  $\epsilon$  as in Ref. [3], providing the best-known method for high-precision simulation of sparse Hamiltonians.

## References

1. Lloyd, S.: Universal quantum simulators. *Science* **273**(5278) (1996) 1073–1078
2. Aharonov, D., Ta-Shma, A.: Adiabatic quantum state generation and statistical zero knowledge. In: Proc. 35th STOC, ACM (2003) 20–29
3. Berry, D., Ahokas, G., Cleve, R., Sanders, B.: Efficient quantum algorithms for simulating sparse Hamiltonians. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **270**(2) (2007) 359–371
4. Feynman, R.: Simulating physics with computers. *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **21**(6) (1982) 467–488
5. Childs, A.M.: Quantum information processing in continuous time. PhD thesis, Massachusetts Institute of Technology (2004)
6. Childs, A.M.: On the Relationship Between Continuous- and Discrete-Time Quantum Walk. *Commun. Math. Phys.* (2009) 1–23
7. Berry, D.W., Childs, A.M.: The quantum query complexity of implementing black-box unitary transformations. ArXiv preprint arXiv:0910.4157 (2009)
8. Panconesi, A., Rizzi, R.: Some simple distributed algorithms for sparse networks. *Distrib. Comput.* **14**(2) (2001) 97–100
9. Cole, R., Vishkin, U.: Deterministic coin tossing with applications to optimal parallel list ranking. *Inf. Control* **70**(1) (1986) 32–53
10. Goldberg, A.V., Plotkin, S.A., Shannon, G.E.: Parallel symmetry-breaking in sparse graphs. *SIAM J. Discrete Math.* **1**(4) (1988) 434–446